1	2	3	4	5	6
7.	Himachal Pradesh	2860	3440	4250	5919.6
8.	Jammu & Kashmir	950	1110	1310	1723
9.	Karnataka	2420	2850	3510	4676.7
10.	Kerala	3410	4230	5270	7636.3
11.	Madhya Pradesh	1040	1200	1370	2011.33
12.	Maharashtra	4240	3738	4900	7239.24
13.	North East	990	1250	1560	2078.78
14.	Orissa	760	940	1108	1494.78
15.	Punjab	3740	4490	5290	6771.3
16.	Rajasthan	1460	1760	2070	2471
17.	Tamil Nadu	3510	2710	4280	5904.58
18.	Uttar Pradesh	1010	820	1230	1653.58
19.	West Bengal	1610	1970	2330	2660.48
20.	Delhi	12070	12230	13020	17395
	TOTAL (average):	1840	2200	2660	3560

NOTE: Gujarat State includes Dadar Diu, Daman & Nagar Haveli (U.T.).
Kerala State includes Lakshadweep (U.T.).
Maharashtra State includes Goa and Mumbai
North-East telecom circle includes Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland and Tripura State.
Punjab State includes Chandigarh (U.T.).
Tamil Nadu State includes Chennai and Pondicherry (U.T.).
West Bengal State includes Calcutta and Sikkim State.
Bihar State includes Jharkhand State (Formed in March, 01).
Madhya Pradesh State includes Chhattisgarh State. (Formed in March, 01).
Uttar Pradesh State includes Uttaranchal State. (Formed in March, 01).

Amendment of CAT Act

*166. SHRI DINA NATH MISHRA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Central Adminsitrative Tribunal Act, 1985, is proposed to be amended in the near future;

(b) if so, what prompted Government to think for amendments; and

(c) what are the view of the State Governments regarding functioning of the Central Adminsitrative Tribunals?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES AND PENSIONS (SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE): (a) to (c) Governmnent is considering suitable amendments to the Adminsitrative Tribunals Act, 1985 in view of certain judicial pronouncements as well as demands from a few States. The amendments proposed, among other things, include the enabling provision for the abolition of any Bench of the Central Adminsitrative Tribunal and also for the abolition of any State Administrative Tribunal. It is proposed to have a provision for making of an appropriate scheme, in consultation with the concerned High Court, for the transfer of cases pending before any such Tribunal. Since, the jurisdiction of Central Administrative Tribunal is limited to Central Government employees as mentioned in Section 14 of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, views of the State Governments about the functioning of Central Administrative Tribunal have not been called for.

Revival of SSI units

*167. SHRI A. VIJAYA RAGHAVAN: Will the Minister of SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES be pleased to state:

(a) the number of Small Scale Industrial units closed-down during the last three years in the country, State-wise;

(b) whether Government have taken any measures to revive them;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES (SHRIMATI VASUNDHARA RAJE): (a) The Information on closed units is not maintained centrally. However, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) compiles data on sick SSI financed by scheduled commercial banks. As per the data compiled